JACK ROSE

ARIZONA CORPURATION COMMISSION

DATE:

OCTOBER 16, 1998

DOCKET NO.:

T-03599A-98-0410

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Hearing Officer Jane Rodda. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

UNI-TEL COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC. (CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Hearing Officer by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

OCTOBER 26, 1998

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Hearing Officer to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

OCTOBER 27, 1998 and OCTOBER 28, 1998

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

JACK ROSE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 JIM IRVIN **COMMISSIONER - CHAIRMAN** 3 RENZ D. JENNINGS COMMISSIONER 4 CARL J. KUNASEK COMMISSIONER 5 6 DOCKET NO. T-03599A-98-0410 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNI-TEL COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC. 7 FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE DECISION NO. 8 COMPETITIVE INTERLATA/INTRALATA RESOLD TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE **ORDER** SERVICES. 10 11 Open Meeting October 27 and 28, 1998 12 Phoenix, Arizona 13 BY THE COMMISSION: Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona 14 Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 15 16 FINDINGS OF FACT 17 On July 22, 1998, Uni-Tel Communications Group, Inc ("Applicant") filed with the 1. 18 Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide 19 resold telecommunications service in the State of Arizona. **20**l 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 21 telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction 22 of the Commission. 23 In Decision No. 59124 (June 23, 1995), the Commission adopted A.A.C. R14-2-1101 3. 241 25 through R14-2-1115 to regulate resellers. 26 Applicant is an Indiana corporation that has been qualified to do business in Arizona 27 since 1998.

28

25

26

27

28

5. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services and plans to resell the services of Frontier.

- 6. On August 7, 1998, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff Report.
- 7. The Staff Report stated that Applicant is a start-up company and provided internally prepared financial statements for the six month period ended June 30, 1998. The financial statements indicated that the company had a net loss of \$14,219 on sales of \$71,700. In Staff's opinion, Applicant was thinly capitalized with \$20,000. Based on the foregoing, Staff believed that Applicant did not appear to have adequate financial resources to make necessary plant additions or incur operating losses. Accordingly, Staff recommended that pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1105.D, Applicant maintain for a minimum of one year, an escrow account equal to the total amount of any prepayments, advances and deposits that Applicant may collect from its customers as a condition of certification. In the alternative, Applicant could file a letter stating that it does not currently charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits, and does not intend to do so in the future. If at some future date Applicant desired to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits, it must file information with Staff that demonstrates Applicant's financial viability. Staff would review the information and provide Applicant its decision concerning financial viability within 30 days of receipt of the information. Staff believes that if Applicant experiences financial difficulty, there should be minimal impact to its customers. Customers are able to dial another reseller or facilitiesbased provider, and may permanently switch to another company without forfeiting any prepayment, advance or deposit.
- 8. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
 - 9. Staff recommended that:
 - (a) Applicant's application for a Certificate should be approved subject to A.A.C. R14-2-

1106.B;

- (b) Applicant's intrastate toll service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (c) Applicant's competitive services should be priced at the effective rates set forth in Applicant's tariffs and the maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by Applicant in its tariffs. The minimum rates for Applicant's competitive services should be Applicant's long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109. Any future changes to the maximum rates in Applicant's tariffs must comply with A.A.C. R14-2-1110;
- (d) Applicant should be required to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform with these rules, if it is determined that there is a conflict between Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules; and
- (e) The application may be approved without a hearing.
- 10. By Procedural Order dated August 28, 1998, the Commission set a deadline of October 9, 1998 for filing exceptions to the Staff Report; filing a statement concerning prepayments, advances or deposits; requesting that a hearing be set; or requesting intervention as interested parties.
- 11. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be set, nor were any requests for intervention filed with Docket Control.
- 12. On September 9, 1998, Applicant filed a revision to its tariff that indicated Applicant does not charge customers prepayments, advances or deposits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. As conditioned below, the provision of competitive interLATA/intraLATA reseller services in Arizona by Applicant is in the public interest.
- 5. With the conditions contained herein, Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive interLATA/intraLATA reseller services in Arizona.
- 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 9 are reasonable and should be adopted.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Uni-Tel Communications Group, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive interLATA/intraLATA resold telecommunications services except local exchange services shall be, and the same is, hereby granted, as limited below.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that of Uni-Tel Communications Group, Inc, shall comply with the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact No. 9.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Uni-Tel Communications Group, shall not be authorized to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits. If in the future of Uni-Tel Communications Group, Inc. desires to initiate such charges, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the company's financial viability. Staff shall review the information and file its recommendation concerning financial viability within thirty days of receipt of the financial information, for Commission approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

COMMISSIONER - CHAIRMAN

JACK ROSE

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JACK ROSE, Executive Secretary of the

Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol,

day of

COMMISSIONER

27 28

DISSENT JR:dap

DECISION	NO.	

in the City of Phoenix, this

1				
	SERVICE LIST FOR:	UNI-TEL COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.		
2	DOCKET NO.	T-03599A-98-410		
3	,			
4	John Gustaitius, COO			
5	Uni-Tel Communications Group, Inc 618B West Fifth Avenue			
6	Naperville, Illinois 60563			
7	Bobbi Ferguson			
8	Visiology, Inc. 16061 Carmel Bay Drive			
9	Northport, Alabama 35475			
10	Applicant's Regulatory Consultar	nt		
11	Paul Bullis, Chief Counsel			
	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION CO	MMISSION		
12	1200 West Washington Street			
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007			
14	Director, Utilities Division			
15	ARIZONA CORPORATION CO 1200 West Washington Street	MMISSION		
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	,			
25		•		
26				
27				